UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/083,032	02/26/2002	H. Brock Kolls	USE-674US	3265
23122 RATNERPRES	7590 07/09/200 STIA	EXAMINER		
POBOX 980	CE DA 10402 0000	TROTTER, SCOTT S		
VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482-0980			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commons	10/083,032	KOLLS, H. BROCK			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	SCOTT S. TROTTER	3694			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	<b>v.</b> nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 April 2008.</li> <li>This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol>					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21,23-152 and 154 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) See Continuation She 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,4,5,15,18,23,24,52,54,66,82,88,114 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	<u>eef</u> is/are withdrawn from conside ,132,135-138,146,149,152 and 1				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction in the original of the correction is objected to by the Example 11).	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/22/2008.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims withdrawn from consideration are 2,3,6-14,16,17,19-21,25-51,53,55-65,67-81,83-87,89-113,115-131,133,134,139-145,147,148,150 and 151.

Art Unit: 3694

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is in response to the RCE received April 14, 2008. Claims 1-21, 23-152, and 154 are pending but claims 2, 3, 6-14, 16, 17, 19-21, 25-51, 53, 55-65, 67-81, 83-87, 89-113, 115-131, 133, 134, 139-145. 147, 148, 150, and 151 are withdrawn from consideration. Claims 22 and 153 are cancelled.

## Information Disclosure Statement

2. An initialed and dated copy of Applicant's IDS form 1449 filed 5/22/2008, is attached to the instant Office action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 4, 5, 15, 23, 24, 54, 66, 82, 88, 114, 132, 135-138, 146, 152, and 154 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McGarry et al. (European Patent Application EP 0,986,033 A2 which was cited in the IDS dated 5/22/2008 referred to hereafter as McGarry) in view of Howell et al. (U.S. Patent 6,462,644 hereafter Howell) and (Editor & Publisher Vol. 126, Iss. 24 Page 62 hereafter E&P).

As per claim 1 McGarry teaches:

A semiconductor comprising:

Art Unit: 3694

a memory; (see McGarry paragraph 6)

a vending equipment interface for connecting the semiconductor to a vending machine; (see McGarry paragraphs 20 and 21)

an interactive interface for connecting the semiconductor to a computing platform; (see McGarry paragraph 21. Connecting to a remote host to configure the audit module is an interactive interface.)

and

a micro processing unit interconnected with the vending equipment interface, the interactive interface, and the memory that constructs and manages a vending machine transaction string in the memory, the vending machine transaction string comprising data fields, the micro processing unit configured to update the data fields to record vending machine transactions received through the vending equipment interface, the micro processing unit configurable in at least two different configurations responsive to commands received from the computing platform via the interactive interface, the at least two different configurations including a first configuration in which vending machine transaction data is automatically communicated to the computing platform responsive to an update to the vending machine transaction string and a second configuration in which vending machine transaction data is communicated to the computing platform.

While McGarry teaches a device that is connected to a vending machine to monitor vending machine data and keep a database of what it is monitoring. (see McGarry paragraph 5) It also teaches being able to modify how that data is retrieved

including sending it when requested or sending it when a particular event occurs. (see McGarry paragraph 7) While it does not explicitly teach what format that data will be stored in or the format it will be sent in Howell teaches sending data in MDB Transaction strings. (See Howell column 4 lines 28-47. Connections made using the MDB protocol will inherently use MDB TRANSACTION STRINGs to communicate which requires such strings to be constructed in memory.) While Howell is not explicit about sending transaction data E&P teaches compiling transaction data from vending machines and then uploading it to a server where it could be sent to banks for payment. (See E&P page 2 paragraph 2.) Therefore it would have been obvious to a user of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the monitoring and reconfigurable interface taught in McGarry with the data format taught in Howell in order to monitor transaction data on a vending machine and send it to a central server as taught by E&P.

As per claims 4, 15, 114 while McGarry does not explicitly teach what kind of vending machine interface was used. Howell taught using one that could be connected to either a MDB or DEX compliant controller. (See Howell column 4 lines 35-38)

Therefore it would have been obvious to a user of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use at least one of those interfaces to retrieve information from the vending machines.

As per claim 5 McGarry and Howell teaches:

The semiconductor in accordance with claim 1 wherein, said vending equipment interface comprises a UART, said UART being configured to data communicate eight data bits and one address bit in addition to start and stop bits. (See Howell Fig. 3. An

Art Unit: 3694

RS232 is a UART. A UART can be configured to transmit data in any serial format. Therefore while McGarry and Howell do not explicitly disclose formatting the data in a particular way it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select a format that could transmit the needed data.)

As per claim 23 McGarry teaches one the kinds of data to be included being the price of an item. (see McGarry paragraph 32)

As per claim 24 clearing the data buffer is inherent with receiving data otherwise only one message could ever be received. (see McGarry paragraph 30. Establishing communication channels calls for receiving more than one message.)

As per claim 54 see the rationale of claim 1 above terminating connections is inherent in maintaining data connections otherwise it would be necessary to maintain a near infinite number of connections.

As per claim 66 McGarry teaches the device sending captured data to the host based on received commands. (see McGarry paragraph 7)

As per claim 82 McGarry and Howell teaches:

The semiconductor in accordance with claim 1 wherein, said computing platform by way of said interactive interface data communicates a command to said semiconductor to request said semiconductor communicate with a printer. (*See Howell Fig. 3*. The specification states the printer connection can be an RS232 connection, which is included in Howell making it obvious to attach a printer to the RS232 connection belonging to the device in Howell. While a printer is not shown in Howell it

would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that a printer could be connected via the RS232 connection.)

As per claim 88 while McGarry does not explicitly teach communicating configuration data it does teach sending data from the audit module to the host. (See McGarry paragraph 7) Howell teaches sending such handshaking data. (see Howell column 4 lines 64-67) Therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to request the sending of such handshaking data to setup the communication channels used in McGarry.

As per claim 132 McGarry teaches:

A semiconductor implementing an interactive interface communication protocol with a computing platform, said semiconductor comprising:

a memory; (see McGarry paragraph 6)

a vending equipment interface for connecting the semiconductor to a vending machine; (see McGarry paragraphs 20 and 21)

an interactive interface for connecting the semiconductor to a computing platform; (see *McGarry paragraph 21*. Connecting to a remote host to configure the audit module is an interactive interface.)

and

a micro processing unit interconnected with the vending equipment interface, the interactive interface, and the memory that constructs and manages a vending machine transaction string in the memory, the vending machine transaction string comprising data fields, the micro processing unit configured to update the data fields to record

Application/Control Number: 10/083,032

Page 7

Art Unit: 3694

vending machine transactions received through the vending equipment interface, the micro processing unit configurable in at least two different configurations responsive to commands received from the computing platform via the interactive interface, the at least two different configurations including a first configuration in which vending machine transaction data is automatically communicated to the computing platform responsive to an update to the vending machine transaction string and a second configuration in which vending machine transaction data is communicated to the computing platform responsive to a request from the computing platform.

While McGarry teaches a device that is connected to a vending machine to monitor vending machine data and keep a database of what it is monitoring. (see McGarry paragraph 5) It also teaches being able to modify how that data is retrieved including sending it when requested or sending it when a particular event occurs. (see McGarry paragraph 7) While it does not explicitly teach what format that data will be stored in or the format it will be sent in Howell teaches sending data in MDB Transaction strings. (See Howell column 4 lines 28-47. Connections made using the MDB protocol will inherently use MDB TRANSACTION STRINGs to communicate which requires such strings to be constructed in memory.) While Howell is not explicit about sending transaction data E&P teaches compiling transaction data from vending machines and then uploading it to a server where it could be sent to banks for payment. (See E&P page 2 paragraph 2.) Therefore it would have been obvious to a user of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the monitoring and reconfigurable

Art Unit: 3694

interface taught in McGarry with the data format taught in Howell in order to monitor transaction data on a vending machine and send it to a central server as taught by E&P.

As per claims 135, 138, 146 while McGarry does not explicitly teach what kind of vending machine interface was used. Howell taught using one that could be connected to either a MDB or DEX compliant controller. (See Howell column 4 lines 35-38)

Therefore it would have been obvious to a user of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use at least one of those interfaces to retrieve information from the vending machines.

As per claim 136 McGarry and Howell teaches:

The semiconductor in accordance with claim 132 wherein, said vending equipment interface comprises a UART, said UART being configured to data communicate eight data bits and one address bit in addition to start and stop bits. (See Howell Fig. 3. An RS232 is a UART. A UART can be configured to transmit data in any serial format. While no particular format is suggested in Howell it would have therefore been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select a format that could transmit the needed data and eight data bits with a data parity check bit and start and stop bits is a standard format.)

As for claim 137 McGarry and Howell teaches:

The semiconductor in accordance with claim 136 wherein, said semiconductor by way of said UART detects a valid address byte data communicated from said vending machine, said valid address byte indicates data to follow from said vending machine is intended for said semiconductor, upon detecting said valid address byte said

semiconductor data communicates with said vending machine. (See Howell Column 4 Line 64-Column 5 Line 3. The handshaking is two devices agreeing that they are meant to talk to each other and how they are going to format the messages. The valid address byte is the equivalent of a phone number and it is just confirming the right number was called before sending the data. Detecting an address is a standard part of the Ethernet protocol. While Howell does not explicitly disclose the details involved in handshaking they would be obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.)

As per claim 152 while McGarry does not explicitly teach what kind of vending machines are being monitored Howell teaches monitoring beverage style vending machines. (see Howell figures 1 and 4A. In figure 1 it looks like a cold beverage machine, In figure 4A a data source is a Bottler which would be a beverage machine.) Therefore it would have been obvious to a user of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to monitor data from beverage vending machines.

As per claim 154 one of the conditions McGarry teaches monitoring is sold-out. (see McGarry paragraph 2.) Therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to monitor Vend State such as being sold out of a particular product.

5. Claims 18 and 149 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McGarry in view of Howell, E&P and Squires (U.S. Patent 7,032,038 B1).

As per claim 18 McGarry and Howell teach:

Art Unit: 3694

The semiconductor in accordance with claim 1 wherein, said vending equipment interface comprises a UART, (See Howell Figure 3. RS232 is a UART. But Howell does not address pin level configurability.) said UART transmit line is pin level configurable during non-data communication idle states to a high impedance state or a low signal level state. (See Squires claims 1 and 2. Claim 1 is pin level configurable device and claim 2 is that device being a UART. Since the Squires device does not have a bypass it will supply high impedance, low signal level when it is off.)

Therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the pin level configurability of the Squires device for greater ease of use in the McGarry and Howell devices. (See Squires abstract.)

As per claim 149 Howell teaches:

The semiconductor in accordance with claim 132 wherein, said vending equipment interface comprises a UART, (See Howell Figure 3. RS232 is a UART. But Howell does not address pin level configurability.) said UART transmit line is pin level configurable during non-data communication idle states to a high impedance state or a low signal level state. (See Squires claims 1 and 2. Claim 1 is pin level configurable device and claim 2 is that device being a UART. Since the Squires device does not have a bypass it will supply high impedance, low signal level when it is off.)

Therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the pin level configurability of the Squires device for greater ease of use. (See Squires abstract.)

Art Unit: 3694

6. Claim 52 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McGarry in view of Howell, E&P and Miller et al. (U.S. Patent 5,959,869).

McGarry and Howell teach:

The semiconductor in accordance with claim 1, wherein said computing platform by way of said interactive interface data communicates a command to said semiconductor to request said semiconductor data communicate MDB TRANSACTION STRING data (See Column 4 Lines 28-47. Connections made using the MDB protocol will obviously use MDB TRANSACTION STRINGs to communicate.) and card reader data to said computing platform (While Howell does not explicitly teach sending card reader data Miller teaches the MDB bus as a standard for communicating with card readers which are well known in the vending machine industry. See Miller Column 11 Lines 19-22.)

Therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to send the MDB TRANSACTION String data and the card reader data to the computing platform where it could be data mined for marketing opportunities that might further vending machine profitability.

## Conclusion

7. Examiner's Note: The Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims for the convenience of the applicant.

Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures

Art Unit: 3694

may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant, in preparing the responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Scott S. Trotter, whose telephone number is 571-272-7366. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM 5:00 PM, M-F.
- 9. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James P. Trammell, can be reached on 571-272-6712.
- 10. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).
- 11. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

(571) 273-8300 (Official Communications; including After Final

Communications labeled "BOX AF")

(571) 273-6705 (Draft Communications)

sst 7/9/2008

/James P Trammell/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3694 Application/Control Number: 10/083,032

Page 13

Art Unit: 3694